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1. **INTRODUCTION**

We have used qualitative and quantitative indicators to make both an assessment of the quality of the project and of the achievement of the objectives we have set.

The **qualitative assessment** has evaluated the achievement of the objectives and the quality of the final outcomes and it has been led by the Greek school with the support of the Spanish school. They have also been in charge of the creation of the necessary evaluation tools.

The **quantitative assessment** have been led by the same school teams and it has evaluated:

* the coordination of the project
* the implementation of the project,
* the dissemination and the impact
* the sustainability
* and the satisfaction of the stakeholders

A revisions of the project progress, evaluations of the results and a possible risk assessment has been done along the project in order to ensure the correct execution of the project and the expected efficiency.

After all the mobilities, an evaluation of the activities and trainings has been done. To do so, we have used online surveys to assess the students’ and teachers’ progress and satisfaction, and we have monitored the quality of the products and the activities in order to correct any possible shortcomings.

The Coordinators team has always been responsible for this process and for all the possible adjustments needed.

1. **QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT**
2. **The Project Objectives**

We have assessed the qualitative achievement of the project objectives by developing specific tools of measurement such as:

* interviews with the teachers involved in the coordinator and the partner schools
* direct observation of the implementation of the projects
* surveys

**Regarding project objectives we have assessed:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.1**  | **Quality of project outputs** |
| Evaluation tool | Check lists to ensure that all the necessary steps are being taken |
| Source | Teachers involved in the project |
| Timing | After each mobility |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.2**  | **Quality project implementation** |
| Evaluation tool | * Interviews/Surveys with the teachers involved
* Observation forms of the implementation of the project
 |
| Source | Teachers involved  |
| Timing | After each mobility |

1. **QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT**

**a. Indicators**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Project Coordination**
 |
| 1.1 | Has the general schedule been respected? |
| 1.2 | Have the activities been carried out?  |
| 1.3 | Has the documentation been delivered on time? |
| 1.4 | Has the communication among partners been fluent? |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.1**  | **Has the general schedule been respected?** |
| Indicator | I1: *N. of activities timely carried out / n. of activities planned \* 100* | **Final Result :****I1 = 90%** |
| Source | Gantt Chart / Mobility report |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **1.2**  | **Have the activities been carried out?**  |
| Indicator | I2: *N. of activities carried out / N. of activities planned \*100*  | **Final Result :****I2= 90%** |
| Source | Mobility report |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **1.3**  | **Has the documentation been delivered on time?** |
| Indicator | I3: *N. of documents timely finished / n. of documents planned \* 100* | **Final Result :****I3= 95%** |
| Source | Mobility report |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **1.4** | **Has the communication among partners been fluent?** |
| Indicator | *I4: Teachers’ satisfaction for the communication channels (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I4: 9,25** |
| Source | Survey |
| Timing | After each mobility |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Implementation of activities** |
| 2.1 | Have all the activities been implemented on time?  |
| 2.2 | Have the stakeholders been involved (directly, indirectly)?  |
| 2.3 | Number of students taking part in the workshops / seminars  |
| 2.4 | Number of teachers taking part in the workshops / seminars |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1** | **Have all the activities been implemented on time?**  |
| Indicator | *I5: N. of activities timely carried out / n. of activities planned \* 100* | **Final Result :****I5= 90%** |
| Source | Gantt Chart / Mobility report |
| Timing | After each Mobility |
| **2.2** | **Have the stakeholders been involved?** |
| Indicator | *I6: N. of stakeholders directly involved (participants in the mobilities)* | **Final Result :****I6: 135** |
| Source | Mobility report / Interviews with local coordinators |
| Timing | After Intermediate report and at the end of the project |
| Indicator | *I7: N. of stakeholders involved indirectly* | **Final Result :****I7: ≥500** |
| Source | Mobility report / Interviews with local coordinators |
| Timing | After Intermediate report and at the end of the project |
| **2.3** | **Number of students taking part in the workshops / seminars** |
| Indicator | *I8: N. of students attending the workshops / seminars (total)* | **Final Result :****I8: ≥ 300** |
| Source | Mobility report / interviews with local coordinators |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **2.4** | **Number of teachers taking part in the workshops / seminars** |
| Indicator | *I9: N. of teachers attending the workshops/seminars* | **Final Result :****I9: ≥ 50** |
| Source | Mobility report / interviews with local coordinators |
| Timing | After each mobility |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. Dissemination of results and impact** |
| 3.1 | Have all the established dissemination activities been done? |
| 3.2 | Number of printed material disseminated |
| 3.3 | Number of press releases disseminated |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3.1** | **Have all the established dissemination activities been done?** |
| Indicator | *I10: N. of dissemination activities timely carried out / n. of activities planned \* 100* | **Final Result :****I10= 90%** |
| Source | Mobility report |
| Timing | After each Mobility |
| **3.2** | **Number of printed material disseminated**  |
| Indicator | *I11: N. of printed material disseminated* | **Final Result :****I11: ≥150** |
| Source | Mobility report  |
| Timing | Before final report |
| **3.3** | **Number of press releases disseminated**  |
| Indicator | *I12: N. of press releases after each mobility* | **Final Resault :****I12: ≥ 9** |
| Source | Press / webpage |
| Timing | After each Mobility |

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Sustainability** |
| 4.1 | Is the project sustainable? |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4.1** |  **Actions for Sustainability** |
| Indicator | *I15: Number of actions to be used in the future* | **Final Resault :****I15: ≥ 5** |
| Source | Final report |
| Timing | Before the final report |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Satisfaction of the stakeholders** |
| 5.1 | Students’ degree of satisfaction with the mobilities |
| 5.2 | Teachers' degree of satisfaction with the mobilities |
| 5.3 | Students’ global satisfaction with the project |
| 5.4 | Teachers’ global satisfaction with the project |
| 5.5 | Students’ satisfaction with the L/T/T Activities |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5.1** | **Students’ satisfaction with the mobilities** |
| Indicator | *I18: Students’ satisfaction with mobilities (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I18: = 8,7** |
| Source | Satisfaction survey  |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **5.2** | **Teachers' satisfaction with the mobilities** |
| Indicator | *I19: Teachers’ satisfaction with mobilities (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I19: = 8,9** |
| Source | Satisfaction survey |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **5.3** |  **Students’ global satisfaction with the project** |
| Indicator | *I20: Students’ global satisfaction with the project (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I20: = 8,5** |
| Source | Satisfaction survey |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **5.4** | **Teachers’ global satisfaction with the project** |
| Indicator | *I21: Teachers’ global satisfaction with the project (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I21: = 8,3** |
| Source | Satisfaction survey |
| Timing | After each mobility |
| **5.5** | **Students’ satisfaction with the L/T/T Activities** |
| Indicator | *I22: Students’ satisfaction with the L/T/T Activities (out of 10: 1 min./10 max.)* | **Final Result :****I22: = 7,5** |
| Source | Satisfaction survey |
| Timing | After each mobility |

**b. Evaluation Tools**

In order to carry out the quantitative evaluation of the Project, we have used the following tools:

* Indicators chart (Excel)
* Students’ assessment online surveys
* Students’ satisfaction online surveys
* Teachers’ satisfaction online surveys
* Mobility reports
* Website
* Gantt Chart

**4.TIMING**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Fin | Sp | Gr | Interm. Report | Cz | It |
| Indicators review |  | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Risk evaluation | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |  |
| Students’ Assessment | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Students’ Satisfaction | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Teachers’ Satisfaction | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Website  |  | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |
| Mobility reports | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● | ● |