Statistics: Teachers' evaluation questionnaire – 7 surveys

1) Are you satisfied with the online communication between project partners?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		5	71.43%
Good		2	28.57%
Average		0	0%
Fair		0	0%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

2) Meeting organization: Information (about the project, travel, accomodation, etc) received before the meeting from host partner, responding in time, was: Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Very good		4	57.14%
Good		3	42.86%
Not so bad		0	0%
Unsatisfactory		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

3) Meeting organization: General organization during the meeting was: Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Very good		0	0%
Good		4	57.14%
Not so bad		2	28.57%
Unsatisfactory		1	14.29%
No Answer		0	0%

4) Meeting organization: (domestic arrangements, accommodation, meals, transport, etc.) was: Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Very good		2	28.57%
Good		4	57.14%
Not so bad		3	42.86%
Unsatisfactory		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

5) Meeting contents: The subjects and activities carried were relevant: Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Strongly agree		3	42.86%
Agree		3	42.86%
Uncertain		1	14.29%
Disagree		0	0%
Strongly disagree		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

6) Meeting contents: Balance between different types of activities (work session, social and cultural events, free time, etc.),was: Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Very good		0	0%
Good		4	57.14%
Not so bad		2	28.57%
Unsatisfactory		1	14.29%
No Answer		0	0%

7) Meeting contents: The meeting fulfilled the established objectives. Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Strongly agree	▬▬	2	28.57%
Agree	▬▬▬▬▬	5	71.43%
Uncertain		0	0%
Disagree		0	0%
Strongly disagree		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

8) Meeting contents: The meeting fulfilled my personal expectations. Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Strongly agree	▬	1	14.29%
Agree	▬▬▬▬▬	5	71.43%
Uncertain	▬	1	14.29%
Disagree	▬	1	14.29%
Strongly disagree		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

9) Are you and your students using the eTwinning platform? Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
yes	▬▬▬▬	5	71.43%
Not yet	▬▬	2	28.57%
No Answer		0	0%

10) How do you rate the twinspace of the project?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		0	0%
Good		6	85.71%
Average		1	14.29%
Fair		0	0%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

11) Who was involved in the project at your school? Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Head teacher		6	85.71%
Teachers		7	100%
Head administrative manager		3	42.86%
Students		6	85.71%
Parents		4	57.14%
Local authorities		2	28.57%
Other		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

12) How do you rate the preparation of the mobility to Poland at your school?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		5	71.43%
Good		3	42.86%
Average		0	0%
Fair		0	0%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

13) How do you evaluate the prepared tasks of the project work?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		4	57.14%
Good		2	28.57%
Average		1	14.29%
Fair		0	0%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

14) How do you rate the cooperation between students?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		4	57.14%
Good		1	14.29%
Average		1	14.29%
Fair		1	14.29%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

15) How do you rate the cooperation between Teachers?

		Answers	Ratio
Excellent		4	57.14%
Good		2	28.57%
Average		0	0%
Fair		1	14.29%
Poor		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

16) Do you think your students have benefited out of this project mobility? Tick the right box / boxes.

		Answers	Ratio
Yes	▬▬▬▬▬	7	100%
No		0	0%
No Answer		0	0%

17) Which tasks/activity did you like the best? Why? - Some teachers have made several comments

1. I really liked the art workshop: 2 answers – 22%
2. The presentation of the students' Works: 2 answers – 22%
3. The t-shirt painting: 2 answers – 22%
4. city sightseen: 1 answer – 11%
5. the visit to the memory museum: 1 answer – 11%
6. TV interview; 1 answer – 11%

18) Which tasks/activity didn't you like? Why?

1. The workshop using Genially. If the school has problems with the internet connection, shouldn't have proposed such activity – 2 answers – 30%
2. The making of the interactive poster. There was really no profit from it since all the work was already on eTwinning – 2 answers – 30%
3. Expositions.Too serious – 1 answer – 13%
4. Nothing – 1 answer – 13%
5. I didn't like the computer workshop because we aren't on line – 1 answer – 13%

19) Which attractions did you find the most interesting? Why? - some teacher has made two comments

1. The sal mine visit because the mines are spectacular and there we could have some free time, that allowed students mingle with each other – 4 answers – 50%
2. To meet new people, to learn new customs – 2 answers – 25%
3. The Underground Museum, I liked the place and we learnt about Krakow history (the information was interesting) – 1 answer – 12,5%
4. The walk around the city – 1 answer – 12,5%

20) What do you consider to be the main strength of this project meeting?

1. The host families, who received students very well and , through them, the students gained a broader vision of the polish cultur – 2 answers – 29%
2. A common idiom to comunicate to each other – 1 answer – 14,2%
3. The activities in the city – 1 answer – 14,2%
4. Activities that have allowed international cooperation between young people, exchange ideas and share different thoughts – 1 answer – 14,2%
5. The activities at school , and the general project about solidarity . The friendship among students of different countries – 1 answer-14,2%
6. The subject of the project itself. It made everyone engaged with the idea of solidarity – 1 answer- 14,2%

21) Were there any weak points?

- Not enough communication between teachers from different countries – 2 answers 29%
- 1 - The polish students didn't participate in the visits. 2 - The polish works about Solidarity were not presented. 3 - The Polish Coordinator didn't assist to the presentations of the students' works and didn't go to the visits. 4 - It was not pleasant that we had to go and buy the tickets to the salt mines and we had to go there twice because there was no reservation. 5 - It was not pleasant to have to go to a bus stop and buy the tickets for all students at a machine, without knowing what kind of ticket to buy. 6 - it was very unpleasant to have to go on a bus full of people with all the students to the mines. This was not safe, because, with so many people on the bus, we couldn't control them.– 2 answers – 29%
- Not enough interaction between students of different countries. Maybe also because of the difference of the age between some of them – 1 answer – 14%
- Distance – 1 answer – 14%
- There wasn`t enougth free time – 1 answer – 14%

22) What can be improved in a future project?

		Answers	Ratio
To reduce the age gap between the youngest and the oldest students because this difference could sometimes be a problem		5	71.43%
A better communication with host families in English		2	28.57%
To have the same students during the whole project		2	28.57%
To make students work in international teams in order to improve friendship, collaboration and let them		6	85.71%
produce something visible during the mobility itself.		1	14.29%
Other		1	14.29%
No Answer		0	0%