

**ERASMUS+ PROJECT “STUDENTS’ HANSEATIC LEAGUE”**

**Meeting in SWEDEN, November 13th – 18th, 2016**

Evaluation questionnaire for teachers

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1.Which country are you from?  | Denmark 2 Germany 2  Estonia Poland 3Latvia 2 Sweden 1 |  Total:  10 |
| 2.How often have youtaken part  in international meetings with  students before? | This is my 2 7-10 times 1 first time1-3 times 6 More than 10 times4-6 times 1 |  |
| 3.Which aims as regarding your  students did you have in  making them join the  meeting?  (You can give more than one  answer.) | Offering them the opportunity to travel in 2 general Making them meet people from other 6 countries to promote their ability to establish contactGiving them practice in speaking English 8 Giving them the possibility of forming 8 international friendshipsMaking them practice team work in 9 internationally mixed groups Making them learn something about 7the topic entrepreneurshipMaking them improve their skills in 7creating and marketing a new product And letting them have fun 4 Other aims: |  |
| 5. Which aims have been reached by your students  so far? | **The students****- practised speaking English (3x)****- worked in nationally mixed groups (3x)****- met people from other countries****- found international friends (2x)****- learned about entrepreneurship (2x)****- had fun (3x)****- created marketing products****- met all the aims (5x)** |  |
| 6.Do you think your students  found it easy to speak English  with the others? (give grades by circling a number) | very easy 1 2 3 4 5 very  5 5 2 difficult  | Average: |
| 7. How did you and your students prepare for the  meeting? (More than one answer can be  chosen) | Mostly during my normal lessons 3 I worked with the students after 3 school which I was paid forI worked with the students after 6 normal lessons without getting extra pay  Other teachers worked with them 1  The students worked mostly/also 3by themselves without my helpOther ways: 1 | Total: |
| 8.Was sufficient information  supplied before the meeting? | Yes 6 No 1 Partly 3**Comments:****I wasn't informed by my colleagues. They said there was no such need.****No information on real expenses and on travel to the guest house (3x)** | Total:Y:N: |
| 9.Was the work load prior to the  meeting acceptable? | Yes 9 No **Comments:** | Total:Y:N: |
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 10.Did you achieve the tasks you  were supposed to deliver  before the meeting? | Yes 4 Not all 3 No 1**If no, what was the problem?****The students were not motivated enough / didn't have enough time to meet the deadlines for sharing information on eTwinning (2x)** **time / meeting deadlines****communication** | Total:Y:N: |
| 11. What did the students learn  from the preparation of the  meeting? | **The students learned****- to write eTwinning profiles in English****- how to start a business (projects at innovation week)** **- about entrepreneurship****- to research about service businesses****- to define target groups****- about their own country****- to prepare a speech about their country****- to speak in front of a public****- how to divide responsibilities (2x)****- taking over responsibility for a group****- teamwork****- about the need for rules during the conference****- to concentrate on the work to be done****- to be creative (warming-up activity)****- innovation****- quite a bit****- not enough before coming.** |  |
| 11.Which workshop did you take part in? | Penfriends 4 Small jobs 2 Homework 2 |  |
| 12.Are you satisfied with the work  you did? (give grades by circling a number) | totally yes 1 2 3 4 5 not at all 2 5 2 1**Comments:****I would have liked to contribute more****I could just help with English and with reflecting ideas** | Average: |
| 13.Was the work load according  to your estimation? |  Yes 8 No 1**Comments:****I would have liked to contribute more** | Total:Y:N: |
| 13.What did you as a teacher  gain from this international  meeting? | **- the opportunity to see a different education system****- compare, contrast and develop innovative ideas****- practise in speaking English (2x)****- use of computer equipment in lessons****- greater understanding and experience in entrepreneurship (2) and finances in English****- new methods/tools of mindmapping, evaluating, presenting, etc. (3x)****- inspiration from colleagues (3x)****- cultural understanding****- closer bonds of friendship to teachers from other countries (4x)****- about teenagers' opinions****- good cooperation among students (2x)****- the nice atmosphere (2x)** |  |
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 14. What did your students learn  from taking part in the  workshop and the  presentation at Västra  Stenhagenskolan? | **- experience of presenting a speech in front of other people, in English (2x)****- all above mentioned****- cooperating with people from other countries (5x)****- solving problems (2x)****- about other Baltic countries (3x)****- experience in speaking English (4x)****- personal confidence (2x)****- entrepreneurial skills (3x)****- experience in making websites, apps****and videos (3x)****- taking over responsibility (2x)****- tolerance towards different opinions (2x)** |  |
| 13.Did the meeting give adequate  time to introductions and  finding out the background of  the partners? | Yes 8 No Partly 1**Comments:****more time for really getting to know each other (names, etc.)** | Total:Y:N: |
| 14.Are you satisfied that you  were able to contribute to the  discussion and decision  making? | Yes 9 No **Comments:** | Total:Y:N: |
| 15 Did the meeting adhere to the  agenda and were any changes  discussed? | Yes 8 No Partly 1 **Comments:****except for the presentation day because of IT problems** | Total:Y:N: |
| 16. What do you consider to be the main strength of this project meeting? | **- learning about business planning (2x)****- young people from different countries working together (2x)****- motivation for students** **- international working experience (2x)****- international friendships (2x)****- responsible, hard-working students****- 6 countries taking part** |  |
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 17. Were there any weak points? | **- No (2x)****- IT equipment at the Swedish school at the presentation didn't work (2x)****- The expenses for accomodation were extremely high (2x)****- information wasn't complete****- meeting deadlines (2x)****- teachers preparation****- little appreciation and understanding of eTwinning platform** |  |
| 18. Can you see any problem areas for the project that  should be tackled as soon as possible? | **- better information within national project team in some countries (2x)****- no (2x)****- support by some schools (2x)****- organisation of national agency****- experience from first workshop led to improvements** |  |
| 19. If so do you have any  suggestions for improving  and solving the problem(s)? | **- better organisation within national project team** |  |
| 20.Would you recommend other  students to take part in such a  meeting next year?(give grades by circling a number) | yes 1 2 3 4 5 no  9 1 | Average: |
| Anything more you want to say?  | **- had a great time****- fresh inspiration for my job (2x)****- thank you Maja and Maria (3x)****- fantastic project****- very good cooperating between teachers from 6 different countries (2x)****- very flexible conference center****- It was very rewarding to see how well the young people worked together.** |  |
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